LETTERS

N-Annulated Perylene-Based Push–Pull-Type Sensitizers

Qingbiao Qi,^{†,||} Xingzhu Wang,^{‡,||} Li Fan,[‡] Bin Zheng,[§] Wangdong Zeng,[†] Jie Luo,^{\perp} Kuo-Wei Huang,[§] Qing Wang,^{*,‡} and Jishan Wu^{*,†, \perp}

[†]Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, 3 Science Drive 3, Singapore, 117543

[‡]Department of Materials Science and Engineering, NUSNNI-NanoCore, National University of Singapore, 9 Engineering Drive 1, Singapore, 117576

[§]KAUST Catalysis Center and Division of Physical Sciences & Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

¹Institute of Materials Research and Engineering, A*STAR, 3 Research Link, Singapore, 117602

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: Alkoxy-wrapped *N*-annulated perylene (NP) was synthesized and used as a rigid and coplanar π -linker for three push-pull type metal-free sensitizers **QB1-QB3**. Their optical and electrochemical properties were tuned by varying the structure of acceptor. These new dyes were applied in Co(II)/(III) based dye-sensitized solar cells, and power conversion efficiency up to 6.95% was achieved, indicating that NP could be used as a new building block for the design of high-performance sensitizers in the future.

D esign and synthesis of stable organic dyes with appropriate energy level alignment and large lightharvesting capability was the key to achieving high-performance dye-sensitized solar cells (DSCs).¹ In recent years, various types of organic dyes with donor– π –acceptor structure have been investigated.² Among them, porphyrin-based push–pull-type sensitizers have demonstrated superior performance in comparison to other metal-free organic dyes.³ However, the tedious synthesis makes it very hard to apply this kind of dye in practical applications.

Therefore, we started to look for a good replacement of porphyrin. Perylene could be a suitable candidate as it has an intense absorption band around 410 nm which is close to the Soret band of porphyrin. In addition, perylene-based dyes have shown excellent photophysical properties such as a high extinction coefficient, a high fluorescence quantum yield, and outstanding chemical, thermal, and photochemical stability.⁴ In fact, perylene-based dyes have been successfully used for both organic photovoltaics⁵ and DSCs.⁶ However, most of them exhibited relatively low overall power conversion efficiencies and were limited to perylene anhydride and perylene imide with modification at bay position to improve solubility and suppress aggregation.

Our attention was then shifted to a new perylene derivative, the so-called *N*-annulated perylene (NP), in which a nitrogen

atom is annulated at the bay position.⁷ Compared with the parent perylene, regioselective functionalization (e.g., bromination) can be conducted at the *peri*-positions near the amine side,⁸ which opens the opportunities to generate push-pull-type sensitizers by using NP as a new rigid and coplanar π -linker (Figure 1). In addition, flexible alkyl chains or bulky groups can be readily introduced to the amine site, which can significantly improve the solubility and suppress dye aggregation. Moreover, NP itself has good light-harvesting ability and can also serve as an electron donor. In fact, our group recently demonstrated that NP-substituted porphyrins showed largely improved light harvesting in near-infrared region, and power conversion efficiency (η) higher than 10% was achieved in Co(II)/(III) based DSCs.⁹

In this context, three NP-based push-pull sensitizers **QB1**–**QB3** (Figure 1) were synthesized and tested in DSCs in this work. The design is based on the following considerations: (1) NP shows strong absorption in visible range ($\lambda_{abs}^{max} = 413 \text{ nm}$) with high extinction coefficient and high fluorescence quantum yield,⁸ which will be good for light harvesting; (2) a bulky *o*-alkoxy-substituted phenyl group rather than flexible alkyl chain was chosen to suppress the problematic dye aggregation as well

Received: December 29, 2014 Published: January 27, 2015



Figure 1. Molecular design and structures of NP-based sensitizers QB1-QB3.

as to ensure sufficient solubility;¹⁰ (3) triphenylamine (TPA) was chosen as the electron-donating donor, while cyanoacetic acid (CAA) was chosen as electron-acceptor/anchoring group because they have been proved to be efficient for many metal-free sensitizers;² (4) for QB2, a 4,4-dihexyl-4*H*-cyclopenta[2,1-b:3,4-b']dithiophene (CPDT) unit was inserted between NP and CAA in QB1 in order to extend π -conjugation; (5) for QB3, an electron-deficient benzothiadiazole (BT) moiety was inserted between the NP and the CPDT unit in QB2 to facilitate intramolecular charge separation and to tailor the light-harvesting property.

The synthesis commenced with the N-arylation of the parent NP $1^{7,8}$ with the 2,6-bis(octyloxy)-1-iodobenzene (2) (see synthesis in the Supporting Information) in the presence of Cu and K_2CO_3 to give the key compound 3 (Scheme 1). Regioselective bromination of 3 with 2 equiv of Nbromosuccinimide (NBS) at room temperature gave the dibrominated NP 4 in 90% yield. The NP monoaldehyde 5 was then prepared by the monolithiation of 4 followed by reaction with anhydrous DMF. Suzuki coupling of compound 5 with 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-2- $\left[4-\left[N,N-bis(4-hexyloxyphenyl)-\right]\right]$ amino]phenyl]-1,3,2-dioxaborolane $(6)^{11}$ generated the NP aldehyde 7 and subsequent Knoevenagel condensation with cyanoacetic acid in the presence of piperidine afforded the target compound QB1 in 89% yield over two steps. Suzuki coupling between 4 and 6 gave the monobromo-NP 8, and then the NP monoboronic ester 9 as another key intermediate was prepared by Miyaura borylation reaction from 8. Suzuki coupling between 9 with the monobromo-CPDT 10^{11} or CPDT-BT 11¹² gave the aldehydes 12 and 13, respectively, and similar Knoevenagel condensation with cyanoacetic acid afforded the corresponding dyes QB2 and QB3.

The absorption spectra of **QB1–QB3** in chloroform all exhibit a broad band at 400–700 nm region with large molecular extinction coefficient (ε), which can be attributed to the intramolecular donor–acceptor interaction (Figure 2). Time-dependent density functional theory (TD DFT) calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory suggest that this band is a combination of several electronic transitions dominated by HOMO \rightarrow LUMO, HOMO–1 \rightarrow LUMO, HOMO–1 \rightarrow LUMO, HOMO–1 \rightarrow LUMO+1 (see details in the Supporting Information). The absorption maximum was





Figure 2. UV-vis absorption spectra of QB1-QB3 in chloroform.

observed at 531 nm ($\varepsilon = 3.27 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$) for QB1, 550 nm ($\varepsilon = 4.45 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for QB2, and 502 nm ($\varepsilon = 4.96$ $\times 10^4$ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) for QB3. In comparison with QB1, the absorption maximum and absorption edge of QB2 shift to red by 19 and 58 nm, respectively, and the spectra become more broad and intense, which can be explained by the extended π conjugation after inserting of a CPDT unit. QB3 shows similar spectral broadening and intensity enhancement, with the absorption edge shifted to the red by 60 nm. However, its absorption maximum exhibits a 29 nm blue shift, which can be explained by a larger dihedral angle (59.4°) between the NP and BT units in QB3 than that (42.4°) between NP and CDPT units in QB2 based on the DFT calculations (Supporting Information). The absorption spectra of three sensitizers adsorbed on transparent mesoporous TiO2 films all show some blue shift presumably due to the deprotonation of -COOH group on TiO₂ surface (Figure S1, Supporting Information). The spectra of QB1 and QB2 are slightly broadened, but there is no significant change on the shape,

Organic Letters

indicating that there is not much dye aggregation due to the introduction of bulky bisoctyloxyphenyl group to the amine site.¹⁰ However, for QB3, the shape of its absorption spectrum is quite different and largely blue-shifted, implying possible formation of H-aggregates after inserting a BT moiety. In fact, the ¹H NMR spectra of QB1 and QB2 show sharp peaks even in concentrated solutions, but the QB3 exhibits relatively broadened resonances (Supporting Information).

The HOMO and LUMO energy levels of QB1-QB3 were determined to be 5.03, 4.98, 4.98 eV (HOMO) and 3.44, 3.41, 3.42 eV (LUMO), respectively, by cyclic voltammetry in CH₂Cl₂ solution (Figure S2 and Table S1, Supporting Information). The electrochemical energy gaps were calculated accordingly to be 1.59, 1.57, and 1.56 eV, which are in agreement with the optical energy gaps. DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*) calculations show that for QB1, the HOMO is delocalized along both the TPA and the NP core, while the LUMO is delocalized through the NP core and the CAA group (Figure S3, Supporting Information). QB2 and QB3 exhibit even more segregated HOMO and LUMO. Such a spatially well-separated orbital distribution is desirable for efficient intramolecular charge separation and fast injection of photoexcited electron into the conduction band of TiO2 via the carboxylic group adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface.

The newly synthesized dyes QB1–QB3 were adsorbed onto a bilayer titania film to serve as a working electrode for photovoltaic characterizations with Co(II)/(III) based electrolyte (see details in the Supporting Information).¹² Parts a and b of Figure 3 show the photocurrent density–voltage (*J*–*V*)



Figure 3. (a) Photocurrent–voltage curves and (b) IPCE action spectra of the DSCs based on QB1–QB3 with and without coadsorbent DCA; (c) TiO₂ chemical capacitance (Q) versus bias voltage; (d) electron recombination resistance (R_{ct}) versus Q for QB1–QB3 cells.

characteristics and the corresponding incident photon-tocurrent efficiency (IPCE) action spectra under illumination of the standard AM 1.5 simulated sunlight (1 sun, 100 mW cm⁻²). Dyes **QB1–QB3** showed an average power conversion efficiency of 5.79%, 6.10%, and 5.46%, respectively (Table 1), which is impressive since most reported perylene based sensitizers exhibited low or moderate performance.⁶ In particular, DSCs based on **QB1** showed large open circuit

Table 1. Photovoltaic Parameters of DSCs Based on QB1– QB3 with and without Co-adsorbent DCA

cell name	$V_{\rm OC}~({\rm mV})$	$J_{\rm SC}~({\rm mA~cm^{-2}})$	ff (%)	η (%)
QB1	825	10.48	69.1	5.79 ^a
QB2	731	12.31	67.8	6.10 ^a
QB3	739	10.27	72.0	5.46 ^a
QB1 + DCA	729	8.76	74.4	4.76 ^b
QB2 + DCA	740	12.80	73.3	6.95 ^b
QB3 + DCA	766	11.32	72.6	6.30 ^b
^{<i>a</i>} The cell employed 5.3 μ m TiO ₂ transparent with 4.0 μ m light scattering layers ^{<i>b</i>} Coadsorbed with DCA Dye. DCA = 1:10				

voltage (V_{oc} = 0.825 V), which could be ascribed to its optimal frontier molecular orbital profile and lower HOMO energy level compared with QB2 and QB3. Broad IPCE action spectra covering most of the visible region were observed for all three dyes, which is consistent with their absorption spectra. Dyes QB1 and QB2 showed IPCE values up to 75%, while dye QB3 exhibited lower maximum IPCE value (~54%). The onset of IPCE action spectra is red-shifted from 663 nm for QB1 to 715 nm for QB2 and to 725 nm for QB3. As a result, QB2 cell showed the highest short circuit current density (J_{sc}) of 12.31 mA cm⁻² while QB1 and QB3 displayed smaller J_{sc} values (Table 1). After coadsorption with deoxycholic acid (DCA), the power conversion efficiency dropped for the **QB1** cell (η = 4.76%) while slightly increased for QB2 cell ($\eta = 6.95\%$). Significant improvement of performance was observed for QB3 $(\eta = 6.30\%)$ presumably due to the suppression of dye aggregation.

To further understand the dye structure-device performance relationship, electrochemical impedance measurements were conducted on the QB1-QB3 cells under illumination and open-circuit states. As commonly understood, $V_{\rm OC}$ is intimately correlated to the conduction band (CB) position and the charge recombination rate.¹³ Figure 3c shows the dependence of chemical capacitance (Q) of the three cells on V_{OC} . At a fixed bias voltage, the difference in chemical capacitance indicates the conduction band (CB) position of TiO₂ varies among the three cells. Apparently, the QB1 cell presented the largest upward shift of the CB, while QB2 the least,¹⁴ largely interpreting the $V_{\rm OC}$ difference of the cells. Figure 3d shows the recombination resistance (R_{ct}) of the cells at different Q. At a fixed electron density, the R_{ct} for QB1 cell is larger than that for QB2 and QB3, the latter two being fairly similar, which implies charge recombination is more effectively retarded by QB1 dye. As a result, the above two factors render the highest $V_{\rm OC}$ of QB1 cell.

In summary, alkoxy-wrapped *N*-annulated perylene was first used as a rigid and coplanar π -spacer for the design of push– pull-type sensitizers. The obtained dyes **QB1–QB3** showed good light harvesting ability and superior device performance compared to many known perylene-based sensitizers. Our research suggests that NP could be a good replacement of porphyrin for the design of new high performance sensitizers in the future. Optimization of sensitizer structure by extending π conjugation and/or by tuning the donor and acceptor structures is underway in our laboratories to further improve the device performance.

Organic Letters

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Synthetic procedures and characterization data for all compounds, additional spectroscopic data, cyclic voltammograms, DFT calculation details, device fabrication, and characterizations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: qing.wang@nus.edu.sg. *E-mail: chmwuj@nus.edu.sg.

Author Contributions

^{II}These authors contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.W. and Q.W. acknowledge financial support from MOE Tier 2 grant (MOE2011-T2-2-130), A*STAR-DST joint grant (IMRE/14-2C0239), and IMRE core funding (IMRE/13-1C0205). K.-W.H. acknowledges financial support from KAUST.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Oregan, B.; Grätzel, M. Nature **1991**, 353, 737. (b) Imahori, H.; Umeyama, T.; Ito, S. Acc. Chem. Res. **2009**, 42, 1809. (c) Hagfeldt, A.; Boschloo, G.; Sun, L.; Kloo, L.; Pettersson, H. Chem. Rev. **2010**, 110, 6595. (d) Hardin, B. E.; Snaith, H. J.; McGehee, M. D. Nat. Photonics **2012**, 6, 162. (e) Zhang, S.; Yang, X.; Numata, Y.; Han, L. Energy Environ. Sci. **2013**, 6, 1443. (f) Ying, W.; Yang, J.; Wielopolski, M.; Moehl, T.; Moser, J.–E.; Comte, P.; Hua, J.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Tian, H.; Grätzel, M. Chem. Sci. **2014**, 5, 206. (g) Huang, Z.; Feng, H.; Zang, X.; Iqbal, Z.; Zeng, H.; Kuang, D.; Wang, L.; Meierd, H.; Cao, D. J. Mater. Chem. A **2014**, 2, 15365.

(2) See recent reviews: (a) Mishra, A.; Fischer, M. K. R.; Bäuerle, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 2474. (b) Clifford, J. N.; Martínez-Ferrero, E.; Viterisi, A.; Palomares, E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1635. (c) Liang, M.; Chen, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 3453.

(3) (a) Li, L.-L.; Diau, E. W.-G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 291.
(b) Yella, A.; Lee, H.-W.; Tsao, H. N.; Yi, C.; Chandiran, A. K.; Nazeeruddin, M. K.; Diau, E.W.-G.; Yeh, C.-Y.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Grätzel, M. Science 2011, 334, 629. (c) Mathew, S.; Yella, A.; Gao, P.; Humphry-Baker, R.; Curchod, B. F. E.; Ashari-Astani, N.; Tavernelli, I.; Rothlisberger, U.; Nazeeruddin, M. K.; Grätzel, M. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 242. (d) Yella, A.; Mai, C.-L.; Zakeeruddin, S. M.; Chang, S.-N.; Hsieh, C.-H.; Yeh, C.-Y.; Grätzel, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2973. (e) Higashino, T.; Imahori, H. Dalton Trans. 2015, 44, 448. (4) See a recent review: Chen, L.; Li, C.; Müllen, K. J. Mater. Chem. C 2014, 2, 1938.

(5) (a) Schmidt-Mende, L.; Fechtenköter, A.; Müllen, K.; Moons, E.; Friend, R. H.; MacKenzie, J. D. Science 2001, 293, 1119. (b) Cremer, J.; Mena-Osteritz, E. M.; Pschierer, N. G.; Müllen, K.; Bauerle, P. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 985. (c) Zhan, X. W.; Tan, Z. A.; Domercq, B.; An, Z. S.; Zhang, X.; Barlow, S.; Li, Y. F.; Zhu, D. B.; Kippelen, B.; Marder, S. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7246. (d) Sharma, G. D.; Suresh, P.; Mikroyannidis, J. A.; Stylianakis, M. M. J. Mater. Chem. 2010, 20, 561.

(6) (a) Ferrere, S.; Zaban, A.; Gregg, B. A. J. Phys. Chem. B **1997**, 101, 4490. (b) Edvinsson, T.; Li, C.; Pschirer, N.; Schoneboom, J.; Eickemeyer, F.; Sens, R.; Boschloo, G.; Herrmann, A.; Müllen, K.; Hagfeldt, A. J. Phys. Chem. C **2007**, 111, 15137. (c) Shibano, Y.; Umeyama, T.; Matano, Y.; Imahori, H. Org. Lett. **2007**, 9, 1971. (d) Li, C.; Yum, J.-H.; Moon, S.-J.; Herrmann, A.; Eickemeyer, F.; Pschirer, N.

G.; Erk, P.; Schöneboom, J.; Müllen, K.; Grätzel, M.; Nazeeruddin, M. K. ChemSusChem 2008, 1, 615. (e) Li, C.; Liu, Z. H.; Schoneboom, J.; Eickemeyer, F.; Pschirer, N. G.; Erk, P.; Herrmann, A.; Müllen, K. J. Mater. Chem. 2009, 19, 5405. (f) Imahori, H.; Mathew, S. J. Mater. Chem. 2011, 21, 7166. (g) Jiao, C.; Zu, N.; Huang, K.-W.; Wang, P.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 3652. (h) Li, C.; Wonneberger, H. Adv. Mater. 2012, 24, 613. (i) Yao, Z.; Yan, C.; Zhang, M.; Li, R.; Cai, Y.; Wang, P. Adv. Energy Mater. 2014, 4, 1400244.

(7) Looker, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 3379.

(8) (a) Li, Y.; Wang, Z. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1385. (b) Jiao, C.; Huang, K.-W.; Luo, J.; Zhang, K.; Chi, C.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4508.
(c) Li, Y.; Gao, J.; Motta, S. D.; Negri, F.; Wang, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4208. (d) Jiao, C.; Huang, K.-W.; Guan, Z.; Xu, Q.-H.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4046. (e) Jiao, C.; Huang, K.-W.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 632. (f) Zeng, Z.; Ishida, M.; Zafra, J. L.; Zhu, X.; Sung, Y. M.; Bao, N.; Webster, R. D.; Lee, B. S.; Li, R.-W.; Zeng, W.; Li, Y.; Chi, C.; Navarrete, J. T. L.; Ding, J.; Casado, J.; Kim, D.; Wu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6363. (g) Zeng, Z.; Lee, S.; Zafra, J. L.; Ishida, M.; Zhu, X.; Sun, Z.; Ni, Y.; Webster, R. D.; Li, R.-W.; López Navarrete, J. L.; Chi, C.; Ding, J.; Casado, J.; Kim, D.; Wu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8561.

(9) Luo, J.; Xu, M.; Li, R.; Huang, K.-W.; Jiang, C.; Qi, Q.; Zeng, W.; Zhang, J.; Chi, C.; Wang, P.; Wu, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, *136*, 265. (10) (a) Lee, C. Y.; She, C. X.; Jeong, N. C.; Hupp, J. T. Chem. Commun. **2010**, *46*, 6090. (b) Chang, Y. C.; Wang, C. L.; Pan, T. Y.; Hong, S. H.; Lan, C. M.; Kuo, H. H.; Lo, C. F.; Hsu, H. Y.; Lin, C. Y.; Diau, E. W.-G. Chem. Commun. **2011**, *47*, 8910. (c) Wang, C.-L.; Lan, C.-M.; Hong, S.-H.; Wang, Y.-F.; Pan, T.-Y.; Chang, C.-W.; Kuo, H.-H.; Kuo, M.-Y.; Diau, E. W.-G.; Lin, C.-Y. Energy Environ. Sci. **2012**, *5*, 6933. (d) Ripolles-Sanchis, T.; Guo, B. C.; Wu, H. P.; Pan, T. Y.; Lee, H. W.; Raga, S. R.; Fabregat-Santiago, F.; Bisquert, J.; Yeh, C. Y.; Diau, E. W.-G. Chem. Commun. **2012**, *48*, 4368.

(11) Li, R. Z.; Liu, J. Y.; Cai, N.; Zhang, M.; Wang, P. J. Phys. Chem. B 2010, 114, 4461.

(12) Wang, X. Z.; Yang, J.; Yu, H.; Li, F.; Fan, L.; Sun, W.; Liu, Y.; Koh, Z. Y.; Pan, J. H.; Yim, W.-L.; Yan, L.; Wang, Q. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 3965.

(13) (a) Marinado, T.; Nonomura, K.; Nissfolk, J.; Karlsson, M. K.; Hagberg, D. P.; Sun, L.; Mori, S.; Hagfeldt, A. *Langmuir* 2010, *26*, 2592. (b) Ronca, E.; Pastore, M.; Belpassi, L.; Tarantelli, F.; De Angelis, F. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, *6*, 183.

(14) Kopidakis, N.; Neale, N. R.; Frank, A. J. J. Phys. Chem. B 2006, 110, 12485.